Exhibit 11

today -- regarding the hearsay portion of their objection, but 1 we had a litany of exceptions to the hearsay rule, and, also, 2 statements to the fact that our experts, or anyone who's opined 3 4 to --That's not today's issue. 5 THE COURT: MR. REED: Yeah, we'll be here, they'll be here. 6 THE COURT: That's not today's issue. 7 All right. Mr. Wishnew, Mr. Reed points to what is 8 tabbed as Exhibit 3, behind tab 4, a copy of the state court 9 10 complaint --11 MR. WISHNEW: Um-hum. THE COURT: -- filed on May 19th, 2008, and he points 12 to paragraph 4 in the complaint that reads, "On or before the 13 date within complaint was drafted, the plaintiff herein became 14 the owner of the note and mortgage --15 16 MR. WISHNEW: Um-hum. THE COURT: -- being foreclosed herein". 17 MR. WISHNEW: Um-hum. 18 THE COURT: The plaintiff is GMAC Mortgage, LLC. 19 MR. WISHNEW: Correct, Your Honor. So --20 THE COURT: Doesn't that create -- you say GMACM never 21 22 owned the note. Why doesn't this allegation in the state court pleading create, at a minimum, a disputed issue of fact as to 23 whether GMACM --24

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

MR. WISHNEW: Because I think if you take a closer

25

EXHIBIT

look at the underlying documents, the statement is partially correct in --

THE COURT: Partially correct?

MR. WISHNEW: Well, I would say this, Your Honor. In order for us to have standing to commence the action in New Jersey, we would have had to have had the mortgage assigned to us, which we did. And if you look, as Mr. Reed points out, to page 84 of the exhibits, which is titled "Assignment of Mortgage" -- this is at page 84 of 103 at docket 7017-6 -- it specifically says, Your Honor, "and the said assignor" -- and the assignor in this document is identified as MERS or Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for Metro City Mortgage, LLC -- "constitutes and appoints the assignee" -- the assignee in this case is GMAC Mortgage, LLC -- "as the assignor's true and lawful attorney, irrevocable in law or in equity, in the assignor's name, place and stead, but at assignee's cost and expense".

THE COURT: Okay. So they were assigned the mortgage. But paragraph 4 alleges that they were the owner of the note.

MR. WISHNEW: I recognize that, Your Honor, and that should not have said the owner of the note.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WISHNEW: It should have said the holder of the note. And if you were to look to Ms. Delehey's supplemental declaration in support of the reply, at docket 7228-1, page 37

1 of 37, nowhere, on the endorsements to the note, is GMAC 2 Mortgage, LLC. 3 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WISHNEW: So we never --4 THE COURT: It's a disputed issue of fact. So I'm 5 6 going to overrule the objection to the breach of contract 7 claim. You may well prevail. 8 MR. WISHNEW: Um-hum. THE COURT: It's too bad somebody alleged in the 9 complaint that you owned the note. 10 11 MR. WISHNEW: Agreed, Your Honor. 12 MR. REED: Your Honor, may --13 THE COURT: No, just a second, Mr. Reed. 14 MR. REED: Sure. Sure. THE COURT: Where in the proof of claim is an unjust 15 enrichment claim? 16 MR. WISHNEW: It might be in the certification to the 17 claim, Your Honor. Just give me one minute; I'll double check. 18 19 MR. REED: It's on the face as well, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Where? MR. REED: No, I don't have that -- I don't have the 21 22 original document. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Reed? MR. WISHNEW: I just have the supplemental. 24 25 THE COURT: Mr. Wishnew will --